

ISO14001 Re-certification / CSA Z809 Surveillance Audit Action Plans
Canfor – Vavenby Division
July 14, 2008

Non-conformities:

Non-conformity #	Description of Issue(s)	Proposed Corrective/Preventive Action(s)	Responsibility	Due Date	KPMG Comments
NA – No NCs identified during audit					

Opportunities for Improvement (Note: documentation of action plans to address OFIs is not mandatory):

OFI #	Description of Issue(s)	Proposed Corrective/Preventive Action(s) Root Cause	Responsibility	Due Date	KPMG Comments
OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809	Indicator 8 (SAR) – Although the operation is reflecting consideration of a wide range of SAR in their planning (i.e., using the SAR database) the target underlying this indicator restricts consideration to red-listed species and SFMP App. 2 reflects IWMS 04' species.	Indicator 8 was identified for review by the PAG during the March 27, 2008 PAG Meeting. The addition of blue species will be addressed at the fall 2008 PAG meeting. Root Cause: N/A	Dave Poole	Dec 1, 2008	
OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809	Indicator 10 (% of harvested areas in permanent access structures) – The 6% target appears to be set too high given the level of achievement in 2003-07 and because it is restricted to measuring within block PAS there is no associated indicator / target measuring the conversion of the DFA to PAS outside cutblocks.	The need to review Indicator 10 target was identified by the PAG March 27, 2008. An action was initiated to review the indicator during the fall 2008 PAG meeting. Root Cause: N/A	Dave Poole	Dec 1, 2008	
OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809	Indicator 21 (MAI) – Target restricted to measuring MAI for Lodgepole pine and as the monitoring data is based on 2001 TSR information it is not reflective of current MPB conditions.	The need to review Indicator 21 for appropriate species was identified by the PAG March 27, 2008. An action was initiated to review the indicator during the fall 2008 PAG meeting. Root Cause: n/a	Dave Poole	Dec 1, 2008	
OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809	Indicator 22 (Forest age class distribution) – There appears to be no historical natural disturbance basis to this target (i.e., progressing towards a forest with evenly represented age classes) and likely does not reconcile with a number of the biodiversity-based targets or what could reasonably be accomplished on the DFA given the current MPB epidemic.	The trend in the indicator is consistent with the Natural Disturbance Type targets for seral stage within the DFA. It is too early to determine what impact the MPB will have on age class distribution to warrant a change to the indicator. The indicator is scheduled for review at the fall 2008 PAG meeting. Any significant change to this indicator will likely wait until release of the new Z809 standard is released as there will be 3 core indicators that address ecosystem diversity. Root Cause: n/a	Dave Poole	Dec 1, 2008	

ISO14001 Re-certification / CSA Z809 Surveillance Audit Action Plans
Canfor – Vavenby Division
July 14, 2008

<p>OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809</p>	<p>Indicators supporting Element 3.2 (Water quality and quantity) – Although the suite of indicators and targets adequately cover this Element at the stand-level, there are no indicators and targets associated with this Element that relate to higher level hydrological analyses or overall measures of watershed health (i.e., desired states of water quality and peak flows in watersheds).</p>	<p>The need for indicators reflecting landscape hydrological processes has been discussed with the PAG in the past and it was generally felt existing indicators adequately addressed the element when associated indicators were considered as a whole. Measureable targets at the landscape level for the entire TSA are prohibitively difficult to measure and track. (eg) ECA particularly with multiple licensees (partition licences, NRFLs etc.) However, the need/desire to develop another indicator to better address landscape hydrology will be discussed at the fall 2008 PAG meeting Root Cause: n/a</p>	<p>Dave Poole</p>	<p>Dec 1, 2008</p>	
<p>OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809</p> <p>OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809</p> <p>OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809</p> <p>OFI 5 Applicable standard(s) – CSA Z809</p>	<p>Z809 @ 7.3.6.8: A review of the monitoring report identified the following areas where its value as a communication tool could have been improved upon :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indicator 12 (Incorporation of traditional knowledge, etc. in forest planning) – No elaboration on the nature of FN consultation. • Indicator 14 (Number of months for road cut and fill slope seeding application) – No commentary on why the length of time is trending higher over the 5 years. • Indicator 19 (% of affected ranchers with whom forest planning is discussed) – Nature of rancher issues not specified. • Indicator 23 (Number of working relationships with applicable FNs) – No elaboration on nature of working relationships. 	<p>This was raised as a concern at the March 27th PAG meeting and an action was developed to address this at the Fall 2008 PAG meeting Root Cause: inadequate review/editing of annual report for content. Details should have been included.</p> <p>A commentary was provided in the March 27th PAG meeting minutes when the monitoring report was reviewed with the PAG. The PAG was satisfied this was a sufficient response Root Cause: n/a</p> <p>This was raised a concern in the March 27 PAG meeting and included as an action item in the meeting minutes to be addressed in the fall PAG meeting Root Cause: inadequate review/editing of annual report for content. Details should have been included</p> <p>This was raised a concern in the March 27 PAG meeting and included as an action item in the meeting minutes to be addressed in the fall PAG meeting Root Cause: inadequate review/editing of annual report for content. Details should have been included</p>	<p>Dave Poole</p> <p>Dave Poole</p> <p>Dave Poole</p> <p>Dave Poole</p>	<p>Dec 1, 2008</p> <p>July 18, 2008</p> <p>Dec 1, 2008</p> <p>Dec 1, 2008</p>	